

MINUTES OF THE REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING

A meeting of the Review Committee to consider the appeal received from the students against the punishment awarded by the Examination Disciplinary Committee (EDC) for use of unfair means (UFM) during Annual/Semester Examinations-2016 was held on 03.02.2017 at 4:00 P.M. in the office of the Dean (Examinations).

The following were present: -

S. No.	Name of the Member	
1.	Prof. Neeta Sehgal, Proctor	Chairperson
2.	Prof. Mohan, Dean, Faculty of Arts	
3.	Prof. Kavita Sharma, Head, Deptt. of Commerce	
4.	Prof. Kiran Gupta, Nominee, Dean, Faculty of Law	
5.	Dr. Vasudha Bhatnagar, Head, Deptt. of Computer Science	
6.	Dr. Gurpreet Singh Tuteja, Dy. Dean - Nominee of Dean Students Welfare	
7.	Prof. Radhey Shyam, Nominee, Dean, Faculty of Science	
8.	Prof. B.K. Singh, Nominee, The Director NSIT	

Head, Deptt. of Economics could not attend the meeting. Shri S. Rangabashiam, Dy. Registrar (Secrecy) assisted the Committee in its deliberations.

The Committee reconsidered the decisions taken on 02.12.2016 as per directions of the competent authority in accordance with Ordinance X-A(11) of the University Calendar.

The punishment given to the candidates was in public domain. The candidates had requested for review. The Committee deliberated the following cases again with reference to the available documents on record, including the report of the Centre Superintendent, Experts/Examiners' Report on the material recovered from the candidates, statements given by the candidates at the time of the incident, the reply received in response to Show Cause notice served to the candidates, the observations of the duly constituted Examinations Disciplinary Committee (s), the review petitions filed by the candidates and observations of personal hearing on 2nd Dec. 2016.

The Committee members were of the opinion that Unfair Means used by the candidates in case of S.No.1,2,4,6,7, and 8 are of similar kind. Hence the punishment to these candidates was kept uniform and consistent.

The decision of the committee is as follows:

Contd....2

S.R.
(S. Rangabashiam)
Dy. Registrar (Secrecy)

1040

Neeta Sehgal
(Prof. Neeta Sehgal)

2

Sr. No	Name	Case No./Roll No.	Examination	Punishment Revised, if any	Reason for revision, if revised
1.	Aditi Perwal	02/4129448018	B. A. (H) Economics	B to A	EDC had awarded 'B' punishment but the review recommends revision of punishment from 'B' to 'A' to maintain uniformity.
2.	Reetika	07/4163403046	B.A. (H) English	A to A (No change)	
3.	Rohit Rajput	40-41/ 4171441043	B. Tech Computer Science	B to B (No change)	
4.	Bliawna Bhardwaj	67/12008204010	B.Com (H)	A to A (No change)	
5.	Ankit	76/14062263044	B.Sc (H) Maths	C to C (No change)	
6.	Puru Chandvanshi	105/804536	LL.B V Term	A to A (No change)	
7.	Ashish Singh	117/103962	LL.B VI Term	A to A (No change)	
8.	Yuvraj Pal	130/398/CO/13	B.E IV Sem	B to B (No Change) S.R.	B to B.

The Committee suggested that the categories for punishment need to be revised.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.